

About Extremum Problems with Constraints in a Metric Space

Misraddin Sadygov
 Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan
 misreddin08@rambler.ru

Abstract— In the work, we define $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz functions at a point in a metric space. We also study a number of their properties and consider extremum problems with constraints. Using distance functions, we obtain a number of theorems concerning the exact penalty. We obtain necessary extremum conditions under some constraints in a Banach space.

Keywords— extremal problem; Lipschitz function; metric space; Banach space

I. INTRODUCTION

The prominent role that nonsmooth analysis plays in connection with optimization theory is widely recognized, especially since the latter has natural mechanisms that generate nonsmoothness: duality theory, sensitivity and stability analysis, mechanics, economics, etc.

In work [1] we have defined class $\varphi-(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ of Lipschitz functions in point and using that function we have obtained high order necessary conditions of extremum for extremal problem with constraints. We also study a number of their properties and using distance functions, we obtain a number of theorems concerning the exact penalty.

II. PROPERTIES OF $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $G, C \subset X$, $f: X \rightarrow R$, $\alpha > 0$, $v > 0$, $\beta \geq av$, $\delta > 0$ and $\omega: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, where $\omega(0) = 0$, $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$. Let us assume $B(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq \delta\}$.

The function f is called a $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point \bar{x} if f satisfies the following condition

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq Kd(x, y)^v \left(d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta - av} + d(x, y)^{\frac{\beta - av}{a}} \right) + \omega(d(x, \bar{x}))$$

for $x, y \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$. If $\omega(t) \equiv 0$, then the function f is called a $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point \bar{x} .

The function f is called a $(\alpha, \beta, v, \omega)$ -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point $\bar{x} \in G$ with respect to the set G if f satisfies the following condition

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq Kd(x, y)^v \left(d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta - av} + d(x, y)^{\frac{\beta - av}{a}} \right) + \omega(d(x, \bar{x}))$$

for $x, y \in G$. If $\omega(t) \equiv 0$, then the function f is called a (α, β, v) -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point $\bar{x} \in G$ with respect to the set G .

The set of all functions $f: B(\bar{x}, \delta) \rightarrow R$ satisfying the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point \bar{x} is denoted by $L(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$.

Lemma 1.1. $L(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ is a normalized space with respect to the following norm

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \\ y \neq x}} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x, y)^v \left(d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta - av} + d(x, y)^{\frac{\beta - av}{a}} \right)} + |f(\bar{x})|.$$

If $f \in L(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$, then from the definition of the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz functions it follows that f is continuous at the set $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$.

The function f is called a (θ, δ, ω) -bilipschitz function with the constant K at the point \bar{x} , if f satisfies the following condition

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq Kd(x, y)^\theta (d(x, \bar{x}) + d(y, \bar{x}))^{2-\theta} + \omega(d(x, \bar{x}))$$

for $x, y \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$, $\delta > 0$, and $0 < \theta \leq 2$.

Lemma 1.2. If f satisfies the (θ, δ, ω) -bilipschitz condition at the point \bar{x} , then f satisfies the $(1, 2, \theta, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point \bar{x} . Conversely, if f satisfies the $(1, 2, \theta, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point \bar{x} , then f satisfies the (θ, δ, ω) -bilipschitz condition at the point \bar{x} .

Lemma 1.3. If the function f_τ , $\tau \in \Omega$, satisfies the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition with the constant L_τ at the point \bar{x} and $L = \sup\{L_\tau : \tau \in \Omega\} < +\infty$, then $f(x) = \sup_{\tau \in \Omega} f_\tau(x)$ satisfies the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition with the constant L at the point \bar{x} as well.

The function f is called a strongly $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point \bar{x} if there exists a function $\omega : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, where $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} = 0$, such that f satisfies the following condition:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq K d(xy)^v \left(d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta - \alpha v} + d(x, y)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha v}{\alpha}} \right) + \omega(d(x, \bar{x})^\beta)$$

for $x, y \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$.

The function f is called a conditionally $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz function with the constant K at the point \bar{x} if there exists a function $\omega : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, where $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} = 0$, such that f satisfies the following condition

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq K d(xy)^v \left(d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta - \alpha v} + d(x, y)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha v}{\alpha}} \right) + \omega(d(x, \bar{x})^\beta)$$

for $x, y \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$, $d(y, \bar{x}) \leq d(x, \bar{x})$.

III. MINIMIZATION PROBLEMS IN A METRIC SPACE

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $G, C \subset X$, $f : X \rightarrow R$, $\alpha > 0$, $v > 0$, $\beta \geq \alpha v$, $\delta > 0$, and $\omega : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, where $\omega(0) = 0$. Let us assume $d(x) = d_C(x) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in C\}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set C , and f satisfies the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point x_0 with respect to the set G with the constant K and $C \subset G$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = f(x) + \lambda \left(d^\alpha(x) + d(x, x_0)^{\beta - \alpha v} d^v(x) \right) + \omega(d(x, x_0))$$

reaches at G its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in G$, and if $\lambda > K$, then any point minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at the set G belongs to C , where $d^v(x) = d(x)$.

Corollary 2.1. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set C , and f satisfies the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point x_0 with the

constant K and $C \subset B(x_0, \delta)$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = f(x) + \lambda \left(d^\alpha(x) + d(x, x_0)^{\beta - \alpha v} d^v(x) \right) + \omega(d(x, x_0))$$

reaches at $B(x_0, \delta)$ its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in B(x_0, \delta)$, and if $\lambda > K$, then any point minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at $B(x_0, \delta)$ belongs to C .

Theorem 2.2. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set $\{x \in C : g_i(x) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}\}$, where $g_i : X \rightarrow R$, the functions f and $g_i, i = \overline{1, n}$, satisfy the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point $x_0 \in C$ with the constant K , $C \subset B(x_0, \delta)$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = \max \left\{ r_0(f(x) - f(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i g_i(x) : r_i \geq 0, i = \overline{0, n}, \sum_{i=0}^n r_i = 1 \right\}^+$$

$$+ \lambda \left(d^\alpha(x) + d(x, x_0)^{\beta - \alpha v} d^v(x) \right) + \omega(d(x, x_0))$$

reaches at $B(x_0, \delta)$ its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in B(x_0, \delta)$, and if $\lambda > K$, then any point minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at $B(x_0, \delta)$ belongs to C .

Theorem 2.3. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set $\{x \in C : g_i(x) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}\}$, where $g_i : X \rightarrow R$, and functions f and $g_i, i = \overline{1, n}$, satisfy the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point $x_0 \in C$ with the constant K , $C \subset B(x_0, \delta)$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = \max \{f(x) - f(x_0), g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)\} + \lambda \left(d^\alpha(y) + d(y, x_0)^{\beta - \alpha v} d^v(y) \right) + \omega(d(y, x_0))$$

reaches at $B(x_0, \delta)$ its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in B(x_0, \delta)$, and if $\lambda > K$, then any point minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at $B(x_0, \delta)$ belongs to C .

Let X and Y be metric spaces, $U \subset X$ be an open set. If for any $B_X(x, r) \subset U$ there holds true the inclusion $F(B_X(x, r)) \supset B_Y(F(x), ar)$, then it is said that the

operator F covers the open set U with the constant $a > 0$. Let us assume that $B_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{x \in X : d(x, \Omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$ is an closed ε -neighborhood of the set Ω .

Theorem 2.4. Let X be a full metric space, Y be a Banach space, U be an open set in X , the continuous mapping $F: U \rightarrow Y$ cover the open set U with the constant $a > 0$, $\Omega \subset U$ be a bounded set, there exist a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(\Omega) \subset U$ and $\{x \in U : F(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \Omega : F(x) = 0\}$, the functions $f_i: U \rightarrow R$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, satisfy the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \omega)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point $x_0 \in C$, where $C = \{x \in \Omega : F(x) = 0\}$, with respect to the set Ω with the constant K , and x_0 be a minimum point of the function f_0 at the set $\{x \in \Omega : f_i(x) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}, F(x) = 0\}$. Then there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that for any $\mu \geq m_0$ the function

$$g_\mu(x) = \max\{f_0(x) - f_0(x_0), f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\} + \mu \left(\|F(x)\|^\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + d(x, x_0)^{\beta-\alpha v} \|F(x)\|^v \right) + \omega(d(x, x_0))$$

reaches at Ω its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and any point minimizing $g_\mu(x)$ at Ω belongs to C .

Theorem 2.5. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set $\{x \in C : g_i(x) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}\}$, where $g_i: X \rightarrow R$, the functions f and g_i , $i = \overline{1, n}$, satisfy the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz condition at some point $\bar{x} \in C$ with the constant K , $C \subset B(\bar{x}, \delta)$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = \max \left\{ r_0(f(x) - f(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i g_i(x) : r_i \geq 0, i = \overline{0, n}, \sum_{i=0}^n r_i = 1 \right\} + \lambda \left(d^\alpha(x) + d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta-\alpha v} d^v(x) \right)$$

reaches at $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$, and if $\lambda > K$, then any point x_0 minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ belongs to C .

Theorem 2.6. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f_0 at the set $\{x \in C : f_i(x) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}\}$, where the functions f_0 and $f_i: X \rightarrow R$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, satisfy the $(\alpha, \beta, v, \delta)$ -Lipschitz condition at some point $\bar{x} \in C$ with

the constant K , $C \subset B(\bar{x}, \delta)$. Then for any $\lambda \geq K$ the function

$$g_\lambda(x) = \max \{f_0(x) - f_0(x_0), f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\} + \lambda \left(d^\alpha(x) + d(x, \bar{x})^{\beta-\alpha v} d^v(x) \right)$$

reaches at $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ its minimal value at the point $x_0 \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ and if $\lambda > K$, then any point minimizing $g_\lambda(x)$ at $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ belongs to C .

Let X be a metric space, $f: X \rightarrow \bar{R} = R \cup \{\pm \infty\}$, $\text{dom}f = \{x \in X : |f(x)| < +\infty\}$, and $x_0 \in \text{dom}f$. Let us assume

$$f^+(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{d(x, x_0)}$$

$$f^-(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{d(x, x_0)}.$$

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a metric space, x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set C , f satisfy the Lipschitz condition in the δ -neighborhood of the point x_0 with the constant K , and $C \subset B(x_0, \delta)$. Then $f^-(x_0) + \lambda d^+(x_0) \geq 0$ and $f^+(x_0) + \lambda d^-(x_0) \geq 0$ for any $\lambda \geq K$.

Let X be a Banach space. Let us assume $d_2(x) = d^2(x)$. If $f: X \rightarrow R$, then assume

$$f^+(x_0; x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

$$f^-(x_0; x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

$$f^{(2)-}(x_0; x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - 2f(x_0) + f(x_0 - tx)}{t^2}$$

$$f^{(2)+}(x_0; x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - 2f(x_0) + f(x_0 - tx)}{t^2}.$$

Theorem 2.8. Let x_0 be a minimum point of the function f at the set C and f satisfy the strongly $(1, 2, 1, \delta)$ -Lipschitz condition at the point x_0 with the constant K , $C \subset B(x_0, \delta)$. Then

$$f^{(2)-}(x_0; x) + \lambda d_2^{(2)+}(x_0; x) + \lambda \|x\| d^+(x_0; x) + \lambda \|x\| d^+(x_0; -x) \geq 0$$

for any $\lambda \geq K$ and $x \in X$.

IV. CONCLUSION

- 1) In the work, we define $(\alpha, \beta, \nu, \delta, \omega)$ - Lipschitz functions at a point in a metric space and study a number of their properties.
- 2) Using distance functions and $(\alpha, \beta, \nu, \delta, \omega)$ - Lipschitz functions at a point, we obtain a number of theorems concerning the exact penalty.

- 3) Obtain necessary extremum conditions under some constraints in a Banach space.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sadygov M.A. Analysis of nonsmooth optimization problems. Baku, 2002, 125 p.