

On One Non-Smooth Optimal Control Problem Described by Volterra Type of Two-Dimensional Difference Equation

Rasmiya Amirova

Cybernetics Institute of ANAS, Baku, Azerbaijan
 amir.208@mail.ru

Abstract— An optimal control problem described by a Volterra type nonlinear difference equation and with non-smooth quality test is considered. Necessary optimality conditions are established.

Keywords— Volterra typedifference equation, non-smooth, terminal functional, admissible process, optimal control.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the papers [1,2] and others, different necessary optimality conditions are obtained for optimal control problems described by two-dimensional difference equations of Volterra type. In the present paper the case of non-smooth quality test is studied for a problem on an optimal control of Volterra type systems. Note that different aspects of problems on optimal control of discrete two-parametric systems were studied in [3-7] and etc.

II. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

It is required to minimize the terminal functional

$$S(u) = \Phi(z(t_1, x_1)), \quad (1)$$

under constraints

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &\in U \subset R^r, \quad (t, x) \in T \times X = \\ &= \{(t, x) : t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1, \quad x = x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1\} \quad (2) \\ z(t, x) &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^t \sum_{s=x_0}^x f(\tau, s, z(\tau, s), u(\tau, s)), \\ (t, x) &\in T \times X. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $\Phi(z)$ is a given scalar function having derivatives in any direction and satisfying the Lipschits condition, t_0, t_1, x_0, x_1 are the given numbers, moreover the differences $t_1 - t_0$ and $x_1 - x_0$ are natural numbers, U is a given non-empty, bounded set, $f(\tau, s, z, u)$ - is a given n -dimensional vector-function continuous in totality of variables together with partial derivatives with respect to z, u , $u(t, x)$ is an r -dimensional vector of control actions.

The control function $u = u(t, x)$ satisfying condition (2) is said to be an admissible control, the appropriate process $u(t, x), z(t, x)$ – an admissible process.

III. SOLVING THE PROBLEM

Assuming that $(u(t, x), z(t, x))$ is a fixed admissible process, introduce the denotation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{v(t, x)} f(t_1, x_1, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) &= \\ &= f(t_1, x_1, t, x, z(t, x), v(t, x)) - \\ &\quad - f(t_1, x_1, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)), \\ \Delta_{v(t, x)} f(\alpha, \beta, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) &= \\ &= f(\alpha, \beta, t, x, z(t, x), v(t, x)) - \\ &\quad - f(\alpha, \beta, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) \\ \ell(v) &= \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1} \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} [\Delta_{v(t, x)} f(t_1, x_1, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=\alpha}^{t_1} \sum_{\beta=\beta}^{x_1} R(t_1, x_1; \alpha, \beta) \Delta_{v(t, x)} f(\alpha, \beta, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $R(t, x; \tau, s)$ ($n \times n$) matrix function is the resolvent of the linearized system

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, x) &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^t \sum_{s=x_0}^x [f_z(\tau, s, z(\tau, s), u(\tau, s)) + \\ &\quad + \Delta_{v(\tau, s)} f(\tau, s, z(\tau, s), u(\tau, s))], \end{aligned}$$

that is a solution of $(n \times n)$ matrix difference equation of Volterra type of the form

$$\begin{aligned} R(m, \ell; t, x) &= \\ &= \sum_{\tau=t}^m \sum_{s=x}^t R(m, \ell; \tau, s) f_z(\tau, s, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) - \\ &\quad - f_z(m, \ell, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. If along the admissible process $(u(t, x), z(t, x))$ the set

$$f(t, x, \tau, s, z(\tau, s), U) = \\ \{ \alpha : \alpha = f(t, x, \tau, s, z(\tau, s), v), v \in U \}$$

is convex, then for optimality of the admissible control $u(t, x)$ in problem (1)-(3) it is necessary the inequality

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(z(t_1, x_1))}{\partial \ell(v)} \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

be fulfilled for all $v(t, x) \in U$, $(t, x) \in T \times X$.

Assume that, the following condition holds:

$$q(v) = \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} [f_u(t_1, x_1, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) + \\ + \sum_{\alpha=\alpha}^{t_1} \sum_{\beta=\beta}^{x_1} R(t_1, x_1; \alpha, \beta) f_u(\alpha, \beta, t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x))] \times \\ \times (v(t, x) - u(t, x)),$$

Theorem 2. Let the set U be convex, the vector-function $f(t, x, \tau, s, z, u)$ be continuous in totality of variables together with partial derivatives with respect to (z, u) . Then for optimality of the admissible control $u(t, x)$ it is necessary the inequality

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(z(t_1, x_1))}{\partial q(v)} \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

be fulfilled for all $v(t, x) \in U$, $(t, x) \in T \times X$.

Necessary optimality conditions (4) and (5) are rather general. Minimax problem may be investigated by their means. In particular, in the case of smoothness of the function $\Phi(z)$ the discrete maximum principle and linearized maximum principle [7] follows from relations (4) and (5).

IV. CONCLUSION

In the considered problem, necessary optimality conditions are obtained in the terms of derivatives in directions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.R. Amirova, K.B. Mansimov. Necessary optimality conditions of singular controls in control problem for Volterra type two-dimensional difference equations // Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of applied Mathematics. 2010-2011, vol. 36-37, pp. 41-50.
- [2] K.B. Mansimov, R.R. Amirova Necessary optimality conditions in a control problem described by a system of Volterra type two-dimensional difference equations // Transac. of NAS of Azerbaijan 2010, vol. XXX, № 4, pp. 111-122. (in Russian)
- [3] M.P. Dymkov. Extremal problems in multiparametric control systems. Belarus State Univ. Minsk, 2005. (in Russian)
- [4] I.V. Qayshun, V.V. Qoryuchkin. Solvability and controllability conditions of linear two-parametric discrete system. // Differen. equations. 1988, № 13, pp. 2047-2051. (in Russian)
- [5] K.B. Mansimov. Optimization of a class of discrete two-parametric systems // Differen. Uravn. 1991, № 3, pp 359-361. (in Russian)
- [6] I.V. Qayshun. Multi-parametric control systems. Minsk. Publ. IM NAS of Belarus Republic. 1996. 185 p.
- [7] R. Qabasov, F.M. Kirillova. Quality theory of optimal processes. M. Nauka , 1971. 576 p. (in Russian)