

Necessary Optimality Conditions of First and Second Orders in the Problem of Control of Difference Analogy of Barbashin Type Integro-Differential Equation

Zhale Ahmadova¹, Sevinj Qadirova², Kamil Mansimov³

^{1,2}Cybernetics Institute of ANAS, Baku, Azerbaijan

^{1,3}Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan

mansimov@front.ru

Abstract— An optimal control problem described by difference analogy of Barbashin type integro-differential equation is considered. Necessary optimality conditions of first and second orders are proved.

Keywords— *Barbashin type, difference analogy, necessary optimality conditions, conjugated variables.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In our previous papers [6] we have studied optimal control problems described by a system of Barbashin type integro-differential equations.

In this paper, we study an optimal control problem described by difference analogy of Barbashin type integro-differential equations. Necessary optimality conditions of first and second orders are obtained.

II. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Assume that the admissible control in the “discrete rectangle”

$$D = \{(t, x) : t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1; x = x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1\}$$

is described by the system of difference equations:

$$z(t+1, x) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} K(t, x, s, z(t, s)) + f(t, x, z(t, x), u(t)), \quad (1)$$

with the initial condition

$$z(t_0, x) = a(x), \quad x = x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1. \quad (2)$$

Here $K(t, x, s, z)$, $f(t, x, z, u)$ are the given n -dimensional vector-functions continuous in totality of variables together with partial derivatives with respect to z , (z, u) respectively, t_0, t_1, x_0, x_1 are the given numbers, moreover $t_1 - t_0$, $x_1 - x_0$ are natural numbers, $a(x)$ is a given n -dimensional discrete vector-function, $u(t)$ is an

r -dimensional discrete vector of control actions with values from the given non-empty, bounded and open set U , i.e.

$$u(t) \in U \subset R^r, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1 - 1. \quad (3)$$

Such control functions are called admissible controls.

On the solutions of problem (1)-(2) generated by all possible admissible controls we determine the functional

$$S(u) = \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \varphi(z(t_1, x)). \quad (4)$$

Here $\varphi(z)$ is the given twice continuously differentiable scalar function.

The admissible control $u(t)$ giving minimum to functional (4) under constraints (1)-(3) is called an optimal control, the appropriate process $(u(t), z(t, x))$ an optimal process.

III. NECESSARY OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

Assuming $(u(t), z(t, x))$ a fixed admissible process, we introduce the denotation

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, s, z, u, \psi) &= \psi f(t, x, z, u), \\ H_u[t] &= H_u(t, x, z(t, x), u(t), \psi(t)), \\ H_z[t] &= H_z(t, x, z(t, x), u(t), \psi(t)), \\ H_{uu}[t] &= H_{uu}(t, x, z(t, x), u(t), \psi(t)), \\ H_{zz}[t] &= H_{zz}(t, x, z(t, x), u(t), \psi(t)), \\ H_{uz}[t] &= H_{uz}(t, x, z(t, x), u(t), \psi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\psi = \psi(t, x)$ is an n -dimensional vector-function of conjugated variables being a solution of the conjugated problem

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t-1, x) &= \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\partial K'(t, s, x, z(t, x))}{\partial z} \psi(t, s) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial f'(t, x, z(t, x), u(t))}{\partial z} \psi(t, x),\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

$$\psi(t_1 - 1, x) = -\frac{\partial \varphi(z(t_1, x))}{\partial z}. \quad (6)$$

Let $\delta u(t) \in R^r$, $t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1 - 1$ be an arbitrary r -dimensional bounded vector-function (admissible variation control). Denote by $\delta z(t, x)$ the solution of the following linearized problem

$$\begin{aligned}\delta z(t+1, x) &= \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\partial K(t, s, x, z(t, s))}{\partial z} \delta z(t, s) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial f'(t, x, z(t, x), u(t))}{\partial z} \delta z(t, x) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial f'(t, x, z(t, x), u(t))}{\partial u} \delta u(t), \\ \delta z(t_0, x) &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

The solution $\delta z(t, x)$ of problem (7)-(8) is called a state variation, equation (7) is called, an equation in variation for problem (1)-(4).

Applying the development scheme in [5], it is proved that the first and second variations of the quality functional (4) have the forms

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^1 S(u; \delta u) &= -\sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} H'_u(t, x) \delta u(t), \\ \delta^2 S(u; \delta u) &= \\ &= \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \delta z'(t_1, x) \frac{\partial^2 (z(t_1, x))}{\partial z^2} \delta z(t_1, x) - \\ &- \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} [\delta z'(t, x) H_{zz}(t, x) \delta z(t, x) + \\ &+ 2\delta u'(t) H_{zz}(t, x) \delta z(t, x) + \delta u'(t) H_{uu}(t, x) \delta u(t)].\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

From the classic theory of variational calculus it is clear that along the optimal process $(u(t), z(t, x))$ the first variation of the quality functional equals zero, the second - is non-negative.

From the equality to zero of the first variation quality functional it follows:

Theorem 1. If the set U is open, then for optimality of the admissible control $u(t)$ in the considered problem, it is necessary that the relation

$$H_u(\theta, \xi) = 0 \quad (10)$$

be fulfilled for all

$$\theta = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1 - 1; \quad \xi = x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1.$$

Relation (10) is an analogy of the Euler equation for the considered problem.

Each admissible control being a solution of Euler equation is called classical extremals.

Equation (7) is a Barbashin type linear difference equation. Its solution allows the representation[6]:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta z(t, x) &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} F(t, \tau, x) f_u(\tau, x) \delta u(\tau) - \\ &- \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} \left[\sum_{\alpha=\tau+1}^{t-1} R(t, x, \alpha, s) F(\alpha, \tau, s) \right] \times \\ &\times f_u(\tau, s) \delta u(\tau).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Here $F(t, \tau, x)$ and $R(t, x; \tau, s)$ are $(n \times n)$ matrix functions being the solutions of the following matrix difference equations:

$$\begin{aligned}R(t+1, x; \tau, s) &= \sum_{\beta=x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\partial K(t, x, \beta, z(t, \beta))}{\partial z} R(t, \beta; \tau, s) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial f(t, x, z(t, x), u(t))}{\partial z} R(t, x; \tau, s),\end{aligned}$$

$$R(t+1, x; t, s) = -\frac{\partial K(t, x, s, z(t, s))}{\partial z},$$

$$F(t, \tau-1, x) = F(t, \tau, x) \frac{\partial f(t, x, z(\tau, x), u(\tau))}{\partial z},$$

$$F(t, t-1, x) = E, \quad (E \text{ - is } (n \times n) \text{ unique matrix})$$

We can write formula (11) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\delta z(t, x) &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t-1} [F(t, \tau, x) f_u(\tau, x) + \\ &+ \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} \sum_{\alpha=\tau+1}^{t-1} R(t, x; \alpha, s) F(\alpha, \tau, s) f_u(\tau, s)] \delta u(\tau).\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Let by definition

$$\begin{aligned}L(t, \tau) &= F(t, \tau, x) f_u(\tau, x) + \\ &+ \sum_{s=x_0}^{x_1} \sum_{\alpha=\tau+1}^{t-1} R(t, x; \alpha, s) F(\alpha, \tau, s) f_u(\tau, s).\end{aligned}$$

Then formula (11) is written by the formula

$$\delta z(t, x) = \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} L(t, \tau) \delta u(t). \quad (13)$$

By means of representation (12) it is proved that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta z'(t_1, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z(t_1, x))}{\partial z^2} \delta z(t_1, x) &= \\ &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} \delta u'(\tau) L'(\tau, t_1) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z(t_1, x))}{\partial z^2} L(t_1, s),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \delta z'(t, x) H_{zz}(t, x) \delta z(t, x) = \\
 &= \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \left[\sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t-1} L(t, \tau) \delta u(\tau) \right]' H_{zz}(t, x) \cdot \left[\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} L(t, s) \delta u(s) \right] = \\
 &= \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} \delta u'(\tau) \left[\sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1-1} \sum_{t=\max(\tau, s)+1}^{t_1-1} L'(t, \tau) H_{zz}(t, x) L(t, s) \right] \delta u(s), \\
 & \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \delta u'(t) H_{uz}(t, x) \delta z(t, x) = \\
 &= \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \left[\sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t-1} \delta u'(\tau) H_{uz}(t, x) L(t, \tau) \delta u(\tau) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the matrix function

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\tau, s) = & \\
 = - \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} L'(\tau, \tau) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z(\tau, x))}{\partial z^2} L(\tau, s) - & \\
 - \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \sum_{t=\max(\tau, s)+1}^{t_1-1} L'(\tau, \tau) H_{zz} L(t, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the second variation (9) of the quality case (4) is represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^2 S(u; \delta u) = & - \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} \delta u'(\tau) K(\tau, s) \delta u(s) + \\
 + 2 \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \left[\sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t-1} \delta u'(\tau) H_{uz}(t, x) L(t, \tau) \delta u(\tau) \right] + \\
 + \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \delta u'(t) H_{uu}(t, x) \delta u(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. For optimality in the classical extremals $u(t)$ in the considered problem, it is necessary that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} \delta u'(\tau) K(\tau, s) \delta u(s) + \\
 & + 2 \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \left[\sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t-1} \delta u'(\tau) H_{uz}(t, x) L(t, \tau) \delta u(\tau) \right] + \\
 & + \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \delta u'(t) H_{uu}(t, x) \delta u(t) \leq 0
 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

be fulfilled for all $\delta u(t) \in R^r$, $t = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1 - 1$.

Inequality (14) is a rather general necessary optimality condition of second order.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

An optimal control problem described by the difference analogy of Barbashin type integro-differential equation is studied. First and second order necessary optimality conditions are obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Qabasov, F.M.Kirillova. Quality theory of optimal processes. M. Nauka, 1972. (in Russian)
- [2] I.V.Gayshun. Multiparametric control systems. Minsk. IM NAS Byelorussia, 1996, 159 p.
- [3] K.M.Mansimov. Optimization of a class of discrete two-parametric systems.// Differen. equations. 1991, № 2, pp. 259-262.
- [4] P.M.Dymkov. Extremum problems in multiparametric control systems. Minsk. BSEU, 2005, 363 p.
- [5] R. Qabasov, F.M.Kirillova. Singular optimal controls. M. Nauka, 1973, 256 p. (in Russian)
- [6] K.B.Mansimov, ZH.B.Ahmadova, S.Sh.Qadirova. On representations of solutions of a class of linear difference equations of Barbashin type. // Proc. of Repub. Conference on Contemporary problems of mathematics informatics and economics. Baku, 2009, p. 125-128.