

## SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF A NEW GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE OPERATOR

Ali Ahmadov<sup>1</sup> and Saad El-Shabrawy<sup>2</sup>

Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>1</sup>akhmedovali@rambler.ru, <sup>2</sup>srshabrawy@yahoo.com

The main purpose of this paper is to determine the spectrum of a new generalized difference operator, denoted by  $\Delta_{a,b}$ , over the sequence space  $c_0$ . The norm of the operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  on the sequence space  $c_0$  has been found. The results of this paper generalize the corresponding results of [3], [4] and [7].

### 1. Introduction

By  $B(X)$ , we denote the set of all bounded linear operators on the Banach space  $X$  into itself. Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a complex normed space and consider a linear operator  $T : D(T) \rightarrow X$ , with domain  $D(T) \subseteq X$ . With  $T$  we associate the operator

$$T_\lambda = T - \lambda I,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a complex number and  $I$  is the identity operator on  $D(T)$ . By a regular value  $\lambda$  of  $T$  we mean a complex number such that

- (R1)  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  exists,
- (R2)  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is bounded,
- (R3)  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is defined on a set which is dense in  $X$ .

The *resolvent set* of  $T$ , denoted by  $\rho(T, X)$ , is the set of all regular values  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . Its complement  $\sigma(T, X) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T, X)$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is called the spectrum of  $T$ . Furthermore, the spectrum  $\sigma(T, X)$  is partitioned into three disjoint sets as follows:

The *point (discrete) spectrum*  $\sigma_p(T, X)$  is the set such that  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  does not exist. Any such  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$  is called an *eigenvalue* of  $T$ .

The *continuous spectrum*  $\sigma_c(T, X)$  is the set such that  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  exists and satisfies (R3) but not (R2), that is  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is unbounded.

The *residual spectrum*  $\sigma_r(T, X)$  is the set such that  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  exists (and may be bounded or not) but not satisfy (R3), that is the domain of  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is not dense in  $X$ .

We summarize the knowledge in the existing literature concerning with the spectrum of the linear operator defined by some particular limitation matrices over some sequence spaces. The fine spectrum of the difference operator  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $l_p$ , ( $p \geq 1$ ) is determined by A. Akhmedov and F. Başar [1] and over the sequence space  $c_0$  and  $c$  by B. Altay and F. Başar [3]. B. De Malafosse [6] computed the spectrum of the difference operator on the space  $s_r$ . A. Akhmedov and F. Başar [2] determined the fine spectrum of the difference operator on the space  $bv_p$ , ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). Note that the sequence space  $bv_p$  was introduced and studied by B. Altay and F. Başar [5]. The continuous dual of  $bv_p$  determined by A. Akhmedov in [2].

We introduce the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  on the sequence space  $c_0$  as follows:

$\Delta_{a,b} : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$  is defined by,

$$\Delta_{a,b}x = \Delta_{a,b}(x_n) = (b_{n-1}x_{n-1} + a_nx_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ with } x_{-1} = 0, b_{-1} = 0$$

where  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are two sequences of nonzero real numbers such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a, \sup_n |a_n| = A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b \neq 0, \sup_n |b_n| = B \text{ and } a_n \neq a + b, a_n \neq a - b \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Lemma 1.** ([8, pp. 129]). *The matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  gives rise to a bounded linear operator  $T \in B(c_0)$  from  $c_0$  to itself if and only if*

- (1) *the rows of  $A$  in  $l_1$  and their  $l_1$  norms are bounded,*
- (2) *the columns of  $A$  are in  $c_0$ .*

The operator norm of  $T$  is the supremum of the  $l_1$  norms of the rows.

In this paper we determine the spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  on the sequence space  $c_0$ . The results of our paper not only generalize the corresponding results of [3], [4] and [7] but also give results for some more operators.

## 2. The spectrum of the operator $\Delta_{a,b}$ on the sequence space $c_0$

In this section, we establish the boundedness of the operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  on  $c_0$ . Also, we compute the spectrum and the point spectrum of the operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  on the sequence space  $c_0$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\Delta_{a,b} \in B(c_0)$  with a norm  $\|\Delta_{a,b}\|_{c_0} = \sup_k (|a_k| + |b_{k-1}|)$ .

**Proof.** Proof is simple. So we omit it. □

By  $\sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  we denote the spectrum of  $\Delta_{a,b}$ . The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 2.** Denote the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - a| \leq |b|\}$  by  $D$  and the set  $\{a_k : a_k \notin D\}$  by  $E$ . Then  $\sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) = D \cup E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \notin D \cup E$  and let  $y = (y_k) \in c_0$ . Then  $|\lambda - a| > |b|$  and  $\lambda \neq a_k$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By solving the equation  $(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)x = y$ , for  $x = (x_k)$  in terms of  $y$ , we get

$$x_k = \frac{(-1)^k b_0 b_1 \dots b_{k-1}}{(a_0 - \lambda)(a_1 - \lambda) \dots (a_k - \lambda)} y_0 + \dots - \frac{b_{k-1}}{(a_{k-1} - \lambda)(a_k - \lambda)} y_{k-1} + \frac{1}{(a_k - \lambda)} y_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then,

$$(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)^{-1} = (s_{nk}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_0 - \lambda)} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{-b_0}{(a_0 - \lambda)(a_1 - \lambda)} & \frac{1}{(a_1 - \lambda)} & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{b_0 b_1}{(a_0 - \lambda)(a_1 - \lambda)(a_2 - \lambda)} & \frac{-b_1}{(a_1 - \lambda)(a_2 - \lambda)} & \frac{1}{(a_2 - \lambda)} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |s_{nk}|$ . Clearly, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the series  $S_n$  is convergent since it is finite. Next, we prove that  $\sup S_n$  is finite.

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|b_k|}{|a_k - \lambda|} = \frac{|b|}{|a - \lambda|} = q < 1$ . Then there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q_0 < 1$  such that  $\frac{|b_k|}{|a_k - \lambda|} < q_0 < 1$  for all  $k \geq k_0 + 1$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can prove that  $S_n \leq A(1 + q_0 + q_0^2 + \dots + q_0^{n-k_0-1}) \frac{1}{|a_n - \lambda|} \leq A(1 + q_0 + q_0^2 + \dots) \frac{1}{|a_n - \lambda|} = \frac{A}{1 - q_0} \cdot \frac{1}{|a_n - \lambda|}$ , where  $A = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k_0} \{m_i\}$ ,  $m_i = \frac{|b_i| |b_{i+1}| \dots |b_{k_0}|}{|a_i - \lambda| |a_{i+1} - \lambda| \dots |a_{k_0} - \lambda|}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_0$ . But, for large  $n$ , we have  $\frac{1}{|a_n - \lambda|} < q_1 < \frac{1}{|b|}$ , and so  $S_n \leq \frac{Aq_1}{1 - q_0}$ . Thus  $\sup S_n < \infty$ .

Now it is easy to prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_{nk}| = 0$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and so the columns of  $(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)^{-1}$  are in  $c_0$ . Then, from Lemma 1, we have  $(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(c_0)$  and so  $\lambda \notin \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$ . Thus  $\sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) \subseteq D \cup E$

Conversely, let  $\lambda \notin \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$ . Then  $(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(c_0)$  and hence  $(\Delta_{a,b} - \lambda I)^{-1} e_1$  existed in  $c_0$ , where  $e_1$  is the unit sequence  $(1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Then we can easily see that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{b_k}{a_{k+1} - \lambda} \right| = \left| \frac{b}{a - \lambda} \right| \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \neq a_k$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - a| < |b|\} \subseteq \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  and  $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$ . But,  $\sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  is a compact set, and so it is closed. Then  $D = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - a| \leq |b|\} \subseteq \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  and  $E = \{a_k : a_k \notin D\} \subseteq \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The point spectrum of the operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  is given by the following theorem

**Theorem 3.**  $\sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) = \begin{cases} E, & \text{if there exists } m \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq a_j \forall i, j \geq m \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

**Proof.** Consider the equation  $\Delta_{a,b}x = \lambda x$  for  $x \neq \theta = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $c_0$ . Then

$$(a_0 - \lambda)x_0 = 0 \text{ and } (a_k - \lambda)x_k + b_{k-1}x_{k-1} = 0, \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence, for all  $\lambda \notin \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we have  $x_k = 0$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is a contradiction. So,  $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$ . This shows that  $\sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) \subseteq \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Now, if  $\lambda = a_i$  and there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j > i$  such that  $a_i = a_j$ , then we can easily see that  $x_k = 0$  for all  $k < j$ . Then we have the following cases:

**Case (i):** Let  $(a_k)$  is such that  $a_i \neq a_j$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and let,  $\lambda = a_0$ . If  $x_0 = 0$ , then  $x_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and so we have a contradiction as  $x \neq \theta$ . Also, if  $x_0 \neq 0$  then  $x_{k+1} = \frac{-b_k}{a_{k+1} - a_0} x_k$  for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k} \right| = \left| \frac{b}{a - a_0} \right|.$$

But  $\left| \frac{b}{a - a_0} \right| \neq 1$ , since  $a_0 \neq a + b$ ,  $a_0 \neq a - b$ . Then,  $x \in c_0$  if and only if  $|a - a_0| > |b|$ . Then  $a_0 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  if and only if  $|a - a_0| > |b|$ .

Similarly, we can prove that  $a_k \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  if and only if  $|a - a_k| > |b|$ . Thus  $\sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) = E$  in this case.

**Case (ii):** If  $(a_k)$  is such that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a_i \neq a_j$  for all  $i, j \geq m$ , then we can prove, as in Case (i), that  $a_k \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$  if and only if  $|a - a_k| > |b|$ . Thus  $\sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) = E$ .

**Case (iii):** If  $(a_k)$  is not as in Case (i) or Case (ii), that is for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $i < m$  and  $j \geq m$  such that  $a_i = a_j$ , then we must have  $x = \theta$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\sigma_p(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0) = \emptyset$  in this case.

This completes the proof. □

### References

1. A. M. Akhmedov and F. Başar, *On the spectrum of the difference operator  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $l_p$* , ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 39, no. 3 (2006), 585-595.
2. A. M. Akhmedov and F. Başar, *The fine spectrum of the difference operator  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $bv_p$* , ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), *Acta Math. Sinica*, vol. 23, no. 10, Oct. (2007), 1757-1768.
3. B. Altay and F. Başar, *On the fine spectrum of the difference operator on  $c_0$  and  $c$* , *Information Sci.*, 168 (2007), 217-224.
4. B. Altay and F. Başar, *On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $B(r, s)$  over the sequence spaces  $c_0$  and  $c$* , *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 18 (2005) 3005-3013.
5. F. Başar and B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of  $p$ -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 55, no. 1, (2003), 136-147
6. B. De Malafosse., *Properties of some sets of sequences and application to the spaces of bounded difference sequences of order  $\mu$* , *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal* 31 (2002), 283-299.
7. P. D. Srivastava and S. Kumar, *On the spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_r$  over the sequence space  $c_0$* , *Communications in mathematical analysis*, vol. 6, no.1 (2009), 8-21.
8. A. Wilansky, *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 85, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984).